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Abstract

Caesarean section rates are increasing worldwide. It contributes to substantial maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. South Asian countries including Bangladesh have recorded substantial increases in caesarean section rates over the past decade. In Bangladesh, the caesarean incidence rate was 2.6 percent in 2001 and 12.2 percent in 2010. So, the incidence rate increased five times over the last decade. This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Savar, Dhaka during January 2014 to December 2015, aimed to assess the current trend of mode of deliveries of babies. A total of 1253 pregnant women who attended this tertiary level hospital, for their delivery, were enrolled in the study. The study subjects were selected by simple random sampling. A pretested structured questionnaire was used for data collection. The mean age of respondents was 25.81 ± 4.46 years. Most (72%) of them were between 20-29 years of age. Some thirty nine percent of the respondents completed secondary level of education. The study showed that among 1253 respondents, 70.3% underwent CS and 29.7% had normal vaginal delivery. Most common indication for caesarean section was previous CS (42.4%) that contributed an increase in total caesarean birth. Lowest incidence was antepartum haemorrhage (0.9%). Prolonged labour and cervical dystocia, hypertensive disorder, malpresentation were also contributing factors for caesarean section. So, previous caesarean birth was the most important factor in making decision about the way of delivery. Based on the study findings, to decrease the rate of caesarean section, careful monitoring of the foetus at all levels of health care facilities for critical decisions are suggested.
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Introduction

Caesarean delivery, also known as caesarean section (CS), is a form of childbirth in which a surgical incision is made through a mother’s abdomen and uterus to deliver the baby. 1 It is one of the most common surgical procedures among women. The introduction of caesarean section surgery was associated with an improvement in maternal and perinatal health outcomes. 2 Access to comprehensive emergency obstetric care, including CS, is key to preventing the estimated 287 000 maternal and 2.9 million neonatal deaths worldwide every year. 3, 4 Although debate continues about how to quantify the need for life-saving CS, a World Health Organization (WHO) report suggested that the optimal population range for CS rate is between 5% and 15%. This endures as a reference. 5, 6 However, WHO has stated that no empirical evidence exists for an ideal caesarean rate, but “what matters most is that all women who need CS actually receive them”. 7

Caesarean section rates are increasing worldwide, albeit unequally: a recent analysis of Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data in 26 South Asian and sub-Saharan African countries found that rates were highest among the ‘urban rich’ in all countries, and lowest among the ‘rural poor’in 18 countries. 8 In all countries, fewer than 5% of mothers in the poorest wealth quintile delivered by caesarean. 9 In areas with very high mortality rates, such as Africa, inadequate availability of CS contributes to substantial maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. 10 Conversely, in many developed countries, concerns exist about high rates of CS, since increasing rates of this procedure show little evidence of further improvement in perinatal outcomes. 11 South Asian countries have recorded substantial increases in CS rates over the past decade. In Bangladesh, rates rose from 2% (2000) to 17% (2011); in India,
from 3% (1992) to 11% (2006); and in Nepal, from 1% (2000) to 5% (2011).\textsuperscript{12-14}

Caesarean section carries its own risks for maternal and infant morbidity and for subsequent pregnancies.\textsuperscript{15} The procedure is associated with significant increases in maternal and infant morbidity and mortality, particularly in low-income countries. Increases in maternal morbidity are particularly prevalent after an emergency CS or a CS performed during the second stage of labor.\textsuperscript{16} AWHO survey of 373 facilities across 24 countries found that unnecessary caesareans were associated with an increased risk of maternal mortality and serious outcomes for mothers and newborn infants, compared with spontaneous vaginal delivery.\textsuperscript{17} Many factors have contributed to the increasing rates of CS including medical and non-medical factors. Medical factors include increases in maternal age and body mass index and changes in obstetric practices and technologies. Non-medical factors include CS requested by the mother, the inappropriate organization of maternity care and physician-induced demand for CS.\textsuperscript{18,19} There is significant variation in the CS rate in terms of socioeconomic status.\textsuperscript{20} A systematic review and meta-analysis of observation studies revealed that the preference for a CS in women is greater than 15%. Recent evidence also shows that the demand for a CS among young, educated women residing in urban areas has increased.\textsuperscript{21} According to the limited available research, the CS in Bangladesh is considerably higher than the recommended upper limit of 10%.\textsuperscript{22}

The proportion of women giving birth by CS in private rather than public facilities also varied widely between settings. In Bangladesh, only 21% of women delivered in a health facility, around half of them in the private/charitable sector, but 73% of private facility births were by caesarean section. In 2011, three in five facility births were delivered by CS which reflects the historical trend that in 2001-2003, nearly half of deliveries in private facilities in Bangladesh were performed by CS.\textsuperscript{23} Data from rural area, socioeconomically disadvantaged communities in three districts of Bangladesh, Bogra, Maulvibazar and Faridpur, suggest that high C birth rates in private facilities are not merely an issue for wealthy urban mothers.

Although some study from Bangladesh suggests that mothers may have a preference for caesarean delivery because of fear of labour pain or a desire to select an auspicious date for the birth, other studies also highlight women’s fears of CS and their distrust of health providers who claim high costs for the procedure. The lack of association between caesareans and complications in pregnancy or delivery, high financial incentives given to providers for performing CS and the requirement for junior doctors to ‘practise’ their surgical skills, suggest that CS is being used over and above clinical need. A possible explanation for the significant interactive associations between maternal education and caesarean delivery in public and private facilities in Bangladesh is that well-educated women may be delivering in more expensive or highly rated institutions, which may in turn be more likely to perform CS for financial reasons and if they act as training centers for junior doctors.\textsuperscript{24}

Recent ecological analyses also highlighted strong associations between caesarean delivery and increased neonatal mortality in countries with low and medium caesarean section rates.\textsuperscript{25} Unnecessary caesareans lead to considerable costs for families and health systems: an estimated 6.2 million unnecessary procedures were performed in 2008, costing approximately US$2.32 billion.\textsuperscript{26} The literature from other settings indicates that increases in caesarean sections are shaped by supply and demand pressures: providers often have financial incentives to intervene surgically, and women of higher socioeconomic status are also more likely to opt for caesareans.\textsuperscript{27,28} Some women consider C-section is more advantageous than natural birth. They think that a C-section is a modern procedure to have a baby, involving the use of technology.\textsuperscript{29}

Considering the information, the present study was conducted in a combined military hospital at Savar, Bangladesh situated adjacent to the capital city Dhaka to assess the current trend of the caesarean section and its indication, over the two successive years.

Materials and Methods

This descriptive cross sectional study was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and
Gynecology, Combined Military Hospital, Savar, Bangladesh during the period of January 2014 to December 2015.

The study population was pregnant wives of soldiers in defense community, who were admitted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Combined Military Hospital, Savar to deliver their babies were enrolled in the study. A total of 1253 pregnant women were selected by simple random sampling technique. Permission of the hospital authority was obtained. An informed consent was taken from each participant to maintain full autonomy of the participants.

Study participants from the Savar or its surrounding area, of any age, religion or custom were included in the study. Technically booked mothers were defined as those who had at least three antenatal visits at study center while unbooked mothers included those who had no or less than three antenatal care visits during their whole pregnancy at study center and those who were referred at study center to manage emergency from medical centers and hospitals other than the study centre. Pregnant mothers other than wives of soldiers of defense community were excluded from the study.

The data were collected by face to face interview. All mothers who delivered during the study period and freely consented to participate were interviewed personally either on the day or day after delivery regarding their antepartum, intrapartum and postpartum delivery experiences.

Collected information was recorded in a pretested structured questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of demographic variables, obstetric history, maternal and neonatal outcome were designed to meet the requirements of the study. Demographic variables included age, socioeconomic status and booking status. Obstetric history included parity status, maternal health before and during pregnancy, significant clinical events in previous pregnancy and detailed information regarding complications occurred during intrapartum and postpartum period. Maternal outcome including mode of delivery, occurrence of anaemia, postpartum haemorrhage and maternal death were recorded. Neonatal outcome such as gestational age, birthweight, perinatal mortality etc. were also documented. Investigations including complete blood count, urine analysis, random blood sugar, blood grouping, HIV antibody analysis, hepatitis C and hepatitis B antigens, bleeding time, clotting time and baseline ultrasonography were also recorded.

The statistical analyses were done by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSSS) version 16.0 for windows. The result was expressed in mean±SD in case of quantitative data and in frequency and percentage in case of qualitative data. Comparison between two successive years was done by Chi-square test. p value <0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

The mean age of respondents was 25.81±4.46 years. Almost three-quarters of them (72%) were in age group 20-29 years. More than one-third (38.7%) of the respondents completed secondary level of education. (figure-1)

![Figure 1: Age distribution of the participants](image)

Of the total 1253 respondents, 881(70.31%) patients underwent CS and 372 (29.69%) had normal vaginal delivery (NVD). Delivery by caesarean section was relatively more in the year 2014 than in the year 2015 (71.18% vs 69.42%), however the difference was not statistically significant (table I).

**Table I: Mode of delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>452(71.2)</td>
<td>429(69.4)</td>
<td>881(70.)</td>
<td>0.466 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>183(28.8)</td>
<td>189(30.6)</td>
<td>372(29.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vaginal delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>635(100%)</td>
<td>618(100%)</td>
<td>1253(100)</td>
<td>0.00(0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not significant
Table II: Type of caesarean sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of caesarean section</th>
<th>2014 Number(%)</th>
<th>2015 Number(%)</th>
<th>Total Number(%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>127 (26.1%)</td>
<td>61 (14.2%)</td>
<td>188 (21.3%)</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>325 (71.9%)</td>
<td>368 (85.8%)</td>
<td>693 (78.7%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>452 (100%)</td>
<td>429 (100%)</td>
<td>881 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the total 881 CS, 188 (21.34%) patients, had emergency indications and 693 (78.66%) patients had elective CS. Emergency indications of CS were significant high in year 2014 than in the year 2015 (28.10% vs 14.22%, p<0.001, table II).

Forty two percent indication of the CS was previous CS that contributed an increase in total caesarean birth. This indication was significantly higher in 2015 than in 2014 (36.5% in year 2014 and 51.6% in year 2015, p<0.001). Other less common indication with significant difference between two study years were postdated pregnancy with oligohydramnios (9.5% vs1.4%, p<0.001), premature rupture of membrane and oligohydramnios (4.4% vs 1.96%, p=0.03) and antepartum haemorrhage (0.4% vs 2.1%, p=0.027, table III and IV).

The indications with no significant difference between two study years were prolonged labour and cervical dystocia, history of subfertility, mal presentation, diabetes mellitus, foetal distress, patient’s desire, placenta praevia, bad obstetric history and multiple pregnancies, (table III and IV).

Table IV: Obstetric and fetal indication of caesarean section (n=881)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication of caesarean section</th>
<th>2014 (%)</th>
<th>2015 (%)</th>
<th>Total f (%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous caesarean section</td>
<td>165 (36.5%)</td>
<td>209 (51.55%)</td>
<td>374 (42.45%)</td>
<td>13.439</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of subfertility</td>
<td>28 (6.19%)</td>
<td>163 (37.22%)</td>
<td>44 (4.99%)</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy with hypertensive disorder</td>
<td>45 (9.95%)</td>
<td>41 (9.56%)</td>
<td>86 (9.76%)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>19 (4.2%)</td>
<td>19 (4.4%)</td>
<td>38 (4.31%)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s desire</td>
<td>20 (4.42%)</td>
<td>15 (3.49%)</td>
<td>35 (3.97%)</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad obstetric history</td>
<td>10 (2.12%)</td>
<td>13 (3.03%)</td>
<td>23 (2.61%)</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V: Causes of elective caesarean section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes of elective caesarean section</th>
<th>2014 (%)</th>
<th>2015 (%)</th>
<th>Total(%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous caesarean section</td>
<td>165 (50.8%)</td>
<td>221 (36.1%)</td>
<td>386 (55.7%)</td>
<td>6.029</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of subfertility</td>
<td>28 (8.6%)</td>
<td>164 (34.1%)</td>
<td>44 (6.3%)</td>
<td>5.286</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy with hypertensive disorder</td>
<td>45 (13.8%)</td>
<td>41 (11.1%)</td>
<td>86 (12.4%)</td>
<td>3.284</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation</td>
<td>25 (7.7%)</td>
<td>25 (6.6%)</td>
<td>50 (7.2%)</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>19 (5.8%)</td>
<td>19 (5.2%)</td>
<td>38 (5.5%)</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s desire</td>
<td>20 (6.1%)</td>
<td>15 (2.4%)</td>
<td>35 (5.1%)</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta praevia</td>
<td>4 (1.2%)</td>
<td>10 (1.7%)</td>
<td>14 (2.0%)</td>
<td>3.483</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad obstetric history</td>
<td>10 (2.8%)</td>
<td>13 (2.5%)</td>
<td>23 (3.3%)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td>7 (2.8%)</td>
<td>10 (2.5%)</td>
<td>17 (2.3%)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common causes for elective CS included 86 (12.41%) patients, malpresentation in 50 (7.22%), history of subfertility in 44 (6.35%), diabetes mellitus in 38 (5.48%) patient’s desire in
35 (5.05%), bad obstetric history in 23(3.32%), and multiple pregnancy in 17 (1.93%), placenta praevia in 13 (1.88%), patients (table V).

Previous CS (55.7%)% was significantly higher in 2014 than in 2015 (50.8% vs 36.1%, p=0.014) followed by history of subfertility which was also significantly higher in 2014 than 2015 (8.6% vs 4.35%, p=0.021). Other less common causes of elective caesarean section with no significant difference between two study years were pregnancy with hypertensive disorder, malpresentation, diabetes mellitus, patient’s desire, placenta praevia, bad obstetric history, and multiple pregnancy (table V).

Discussion
The mean age of respondents was 25.81±4.46 years. Almost three-quarters of them (72%) were in age group 20-29 years. Aminu et al found that the mean age of women was 23.5±4.2 years which is similar to this study.30

CS is used in cases where vaginal delivery is either not feasible or would impose undue risk on mother or baby. Rising incidence can be explained by the fact that our hospital is a tertiary care centre and receives a good number of high risk emergency cases with inadequate or no antenatal care. Most of the patients brought late in labour after being handled by untrained birth attendants and are actually and potentially infected, often anaemic and dehydrated.

In this study, it was to be found that among 1253 deliveries in two successive years (2014 and 2015) 70.3% patients had CS and 29.7% had NVD. Delivery by CS was relatively more in the year 2014 than in the year 2015 (71.2% vs 69.4%), however the difference was not statistically significant (table II). These findings are supported by the findings of other studies.31,33

Kaur et al found in their study that the prevalence of caesarean sections was 65% which was higher over vaginal births (35%).31 Shiba et al showed that the cesarean delivery rate increased from 17.17% to 28.93%, with an increase in primary cesarean delivery rate from 69.03 % in 2001 to 72.62 % in 2011.32 Radha et al also found an increasing of caesarean section among physicians mother of India.33 They studied 100 physician mothers and revealed that 60% underwent caesarean section and 40% have normal vaginal deliveries between 2000 to 2015, 33%had caesarean section and 67% normal vaginal deliveries according to collected data before 2000. Radha et al explained that the rapid socio-economic changes and the outlook towards medical intervention by the women, families and society are increasingly responsible for the current high incidence of caesarean section in many states and urban centers.33

Findings of this study are not consistent with findings of Aminu et al.30 They found that the rate of caesarean sections was 21.2% which was conducted in the five public hospitals of Thakurgaon district of Bangladesh among 2503 deliveries during July to December 2011. Thakurgaon is a peripheral district situated northern part of Bangladesh. Study participants consisted of semi-urban and rural dwellers, with the majority of the population coming from farmers’ family. Women and children in the district benefitted from free healthcare under the Maternal and Newborn Health Initiative (MNHI) programme. The public hospitals are situated in the Upazilla level. Patients get themselves admitted in these hospitals directly without being referred by any other physician. Most of the patients treated here are usually without complications. So, the rate caesarean sections are low in these setting.

In the present study, it was to be found that among the total 881 CS, 21.34% patients had indications for emergency CS, 78.66% patients had indications for elective CS. Emergency indications of CS was significant high in year 2014 than in the year 2015 (28.10% vs 14.22%, p<0.001(tableIII)). These findings are not in agreement with the findings of others.30,32 Aminu et al observed that 68% of CS was performed as emergency.35 Kaur, Singh and Kaur found higher number of emergency (52.3%) over elective (47.7%) caesarean sections.31

It was to be found that the most common indication for CS was previous CS (42.5%) followed by pregnancy with hypertensive disorder (9.8%), prolonged labour and cervical dystocia (7.7%) and malpresentation (5.7%). Other
indications included post-dated pregnancy with oligohydramnios (5.6%), foetal distress (5.1%), history of subfertility (5.0%), diabetes mellitus (4.3%), patient’s desire (3.9%), premature rupture of membrane and oligohydramnios (3.2%), bad obstetric history (2.6%), and multiple pregnancy (1.9%), placenta praevia (1.5%) and antepartum haemorrhage (1.3%).

Findings of this study are consistent with the findings of others.\textsuperscript{31-35} Aminu et al found that the commonest indications for CS were: previous CS (29.4%), fetal distress (15.7%), cephalo-pelvic disproportion (10.2%), prolonged obstructed labor (8.3%) and post-term dates (7.0%).\textsuperscript{30} Kaur, Singh and Kauf found that fetal distress, repeat caesarean sections, multiparity, high socioeconomic status, 21 to 30 yrs of age group were the indications of cesarean sections while primiparity and low socioeconomic status were the indications of vaginal births.\textsuperscript{31} Shibata et al found that fetal distress, arrest of descent, multiple gestations, and fetal indications contributed to the increase in the rate of CS.\textsuperscript{32}

Rahman et al stated that nine risk factors were found significantly associated with type of delivery. Eight of the risk factors i.e. previous C-section, pregnancy induced swelling of leg, prolonged labour, maternal education status, maternal age more than 25 years, low birth order, length of baby more than 45 cm and irregular intake of a balanced diet remained independently significant for caesarean delivery.\textsuperscript{34} Maternal complications were found to be more significant in public hospitals than in private ones. Shahabuddin et al revealed that the rates of population-based and facility-based caesarean sections have increased linearly among all age groups of women including adolescents. Although the country’s overall (population-based) caesarean section rate among adolescents was within acceptable range (11.6%), a rate of nearly 50% health facility level caesarean sections among adolescent girls is alarming.\textsuperscript{35}

Most common causes for elective CS included previous CS (55.7%) followed by pregnancy with hyper tension disorder (12.4%), malpresentation (7.2%), history of subfertility (6.4%), diabetes mellitus (5.5%), patient’s desire (5.1%), bad obstetric history (3.3%), and multiple pregnancy (1.9%) and placenta praevia (1.9%). Our findings are in partial agreement with the findings of Aminu et al.\textsuperscript{30} They observed that previous CS and “post-term dates” were common indications for elective CS with “post dates” - the commonest indication for CS in primiparous women.

However, it was to be found in this study that patients with history of previous CS were selected for elective CS but all patients with postdated pregnancy with oligohydramnios were selected for emergency CS, probable cause may be the presence of associated oligohydramnios.

Though this study show high rate of cesarean section, it failed to show the rising trend between two successive years. Findings of this study are not consistent with the findings of Gjonc et al. They revealed in a prospective study that the average rate of cesarean deliveries of approximately 32.3% in 2011 to 2013, 21.7% in 1999 to 2000 and the 8.7% in the year 1982 to 1984 resulting in a rising trend in number of cesarean section. Two successive years are not enough time to assess trend of cesarean section. They described the indication previous cesarean births, preeclampsia, and foetal suffering as the indication of cesarean section. The finding seems to be similar to this study.\textsuperscript{36}

This study was conducted in a tertiary care military hospital where the samples of the study were taken from the families of soldiers who lived in the Sawai Cantonment or nearby. So, the study findings may not represent the whole country.

Conclusion

Considering the findings of the study, careful monitoring of the foetus and selecting patients for CS at all levels of health care facilities, and awareness among general population about the complications of the caesarian sections would reduce the caesarian sections.
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